

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	09/828,560	04/06/2001	Roxanne Fawson	2865-4595US	6502	
	7:	590 02/12/2003				
	David L. Stott	•	EXAMINER			
	P.O. Box 1219		.P.	BECKER, DREW E		
	Sandy, UT 84091-1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	-
			1761	9		
				DATE MAILED: 02/12/2003	· /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	OI.
	Advisory Action	09/828,560	FAWSON, ROXANNE	#
ار برهو ا	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Drew E Becker	1761	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	vith th correspondence address -	
There final recondition	REPLY FILED 23 January 2003 FAILS TO PLAC fore, further action by the applicant is required to ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this (1) a timely filed amendment	s application. A proper reply to a ent which places the application in	n
	PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
fee hav fee und (2) as s		is Advisory Action, or (2) the date re later than SIX MONTHS from the AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT he date on which the petition under the dof extension and the corresport of the shortened statutory period office later than three months after the shortened statutory period office later than three months after the shortened statutory period of the shortened statutory period statutory period statutory period statutory period statutory period statutor	the mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See Moder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate and ing amount of the fee. The appropriate if or reply originally set in the final Office	MPEP extension extension action; or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C			
2. 🖾	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require fur	ther consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or simpli於	ing the
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canc	eling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ald be allowable if submitted	d in a separate, timely filed amen	ndment
5. 🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request f application in condition for allowance because:		en considered but does NOT plac	ce the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SC	DLELY to issues which were new	'ly
7. 🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			n
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)☐ approved or b)☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new issue would be "deformable material" which "conformably and aputtingly" fits into the dutch oven...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are still rejected for the reasons of record found in the final rejection of paper no. 7.

KEITH HENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER